The Role of Computational Chemistry in

Corrosion Inhibition: a Review

F. E. Abeng^{1*}, B. E. Nyong¹, M. E. Ikpi² and M. E. Obeten¹

¹Material and Electrochemistry Research Group, Department of Chemistry, Cross River University of Technology. P.O. Box 1123, Calabar, Nigeria
²Corrosion and Electrochemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Calabar, P.O. Box 1115, Calabar, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: fidelisabeng@yahoo.com

Received 16/04/2020; accepted 01/10/2021 https://doi.org/10.4152/pea.2022400402

Abstract

Metals and alloys corrosion is one of the most challenging and damaging occurrence that is linked with enormous economic and safety losses, and it becomes more severe during some manufacturing processes by which metallic surfaces are treated for other industrial application processes. The use of corrosion inhibitors is one of the best way to protect metals and alloys against damage. The problem of the environmental toxicity of some of the employed inhibitors and the high cost involved in controlling and preventing corrosion have prompted this review. Computational chemistry methods are mostly significant in reducing the cost of protecting metals and alloys against corrosion. This review article begins with the summary of the most used computational methods, parameters and, finally, summarizes the results of some studies made by different authors in the field of corrosion science and engineering.

Keywords: density functional theory (DFT), Hartree-Fock (H-F), *Ab-initio*, semiempirical, acid corrosion, inhibition and alloys.

Introduction

Many experimental methods and modern surface characterization tools have been created to evaluate and characterize the performance of corrosion inhibitors for metals and alloys [1-4]. These methodologies are often expensive, time consuming and tedious. Computational methods have already proven to be very useful in determining the inhibitors molecular structure and elucidating its electronic properties and reactivity.

The aim of assessing the efficiency of a corrosion inhibitor, with the help of computational chemistry tools, is to search for compounds with desired properties, using mathematical quantified and computerized forms [5].

The development of DFT, force fields and molecular dynamic (MD) simulations, abolition, semi-empirical and Hartree-Fock model approaches provides potential solutions.

The pioneering work of Hohenberg and Kohn (1964) [6] and Kohn and Sham (1965) [7] has made DFT one of the more popular tools among computational

chemical methods, because it focuses on the electron density P(r) as the carrier of all information in the molecular ground state, rather than on a single-electron wave function [1]. Several molecular parameters and descriptors widely used in the molecular characterization of corrosion inhibitors effectiveness on the metal surfaces have been derived from DFT.

The purpose of our review is to divulge computational chemistry as a modern tool in developing novel molecules for the protection of metals and alloys that are important engineering contributors, and to examine papers and short communications that focus on this research topic. Investigation efforts that have been done recently on the use of computational tools in the field of corrosion studies are presented below.

Computational modeling in corrosion inhibition

Based in the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) theory description, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are often associated with the ability of inhibitor molecules to donate and accept electrons, respectively.

HOMO energy (E_{HOMO}) indicates that an inhibitor molecule has the tendency to donate electrons to the metals unoccupied molecular orbitals (UMO).

In its turn, LUMO energy (E_{LUMO}) indicates the ability of the inhibitor molecules to accept electrons from the metals occupied molecular orbitals (OMO). High E_{LUMO} values mean that the inhibitor molecule accepts electrons more easily, and, if that happens, it is not considered as a good corrosion protector, since it will not tend to prevent metals deterioration. The principle behind this is that a molecule E_{LUMO} must be lower than its E_{HOMO} , for excellent corrosion inhibition [8].

Computational methods

The following computational methods, including *Ab initio*, DFT and semiempirical models, are used for solving the time independent Schrödinger equation for the electrons of a molecular system, as a function of their nuclei positions.

The simplest type of *Ab initio* electronic structure calculation is the H-F, which does not take instantaneous coulomb electron-electron repulsion into account, and only included its average effect on its calculation [5]. DFT is used to investigate the electronic structure, mainly the ground state of many-body systems, in particular atoms and molecules, and its condensed phase. Its main goal is to replace the many-body electronic wave function with the electronic density, as the basic quantity [9]. Semi-empirical molecular orbital methods have been widely used in computational research, and neglect many smaller integrals, in order to speed up calculations. In current practice, they are efficient computational tools that can yield fast quantitative estimates for a given number of properties. This is particularly useful to correlate a large set of experimental and theoretical data [5].

Literature survey

Comparative data showing the significance of computational tools in the performance of some organic corrosion inhibitors on metals and alloys have been previously reported [8, 50-64], and are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparative chart showing the corrosion inhibitors performance on metal and alloys, using theoretical and experimental approaches.

Methods	Parameters	Inhibitor 1	Inhibitor 2	Inhibitor 3	Author
Ab initio (HF/6-31G)	E _{HOMO.}	npym -0.3417	ndimepym -0.3411	ndimethoxpyr -0.3423 0.0382 -0.3805	Ebenso et al. [8]
	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$	0.0392 -0.3809	0.0408 -0.3819	0.0382	
	μ	-0.3809 5.8917	6.0370	-0.3805 7.192	
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	E _{HOMO.}	-0.2348 -0.1096	-0.2339 -0.1076	-0.2351 -0.1087	
	$\mathop{E_{\text{LUMO}}}_{\Delta E}$	-0.1253	-0.2419	-0.2428	
	μ Av IE%	4.9973 81 %	5.2168 87%	6.802 93 %	
	E _{HOMO.}	4-methyl pyridine -8.648		Thiourea	
Ab initio (HF/6-31G)	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$	0.069	Quaternary ammonium salt -8.123 -0.0014 8.121	-7.255 -0.110	Tang
	u u	8.717 -4.289	8.121 -4.062	0.279 -3.682	Tang <i>et al</i> . [50]
	Av IE%	73 %	69 %	85 %	
	E _{HOMO.}	2-methypyrazine -7.0125	2-aminopyrazine -6.1266	2-amino-5-bromopyrazine -61561	Saha <i>et al.</i> [51]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	${\mathop{\Delta E}\limits_{LUMO}}$	-1.6127 5.3998	-1.3303 4 7963	-1.6044 4.5517	
(15111/0 510)	Av IE%	0.7456 83 %	4.7963 3.1176 85 %	5.7059	
	E _{HOMO.}	4-(2-methoxy-ethylsulfanyl)	2-4-(2.2-dimethoxyl-	2-(3-methyl-1-phenyl)	Oyebamiji <i>et al.</i> [52]
DFT	E_{LUMO} ΔE	-5.93 -1.46	ethylsulfanyl) -6.00	-5.92 -1.42 4.49	
(B3LYP/6-31G)		4.47 1.67	-1.55 4.45	4.49 1.60	
	μ Av IE%	75%	0.43	80 %	
	E _{HOMO.}	Linalool -9.37	Linalyl acetate -9.42		Khadom et al. [53]
PM3	${\mathop{\Sigma_{LUMO}}\limits_{\Delta E}}$	-0.38 8.99	-0.97		
	μ Av IE%	1.22	8.45 1.37		
		67 % epoxy resin based organic molecule	72 %		
DFT	E _{HOMO.} E _{LUMO}	-6.36 -5.15			El Aouni
(B3LYP/6-31G)	ΔΕ	1.20			et al. [54]
	μ Av IE%	8.36 98 %			
	Еномо.	6-[5(4-dimethylamino) phenyl	6-[5(4-chloropheny) -8.21 -5.72	6-[5(4-dimethylamino) phenyl furan -4.93	Fouda <i>et al.</i> [55]
DFT	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$	-7.21 -5.26	-5.72	-1.59 3.19	
(B3LYP/6-31G)	μ	1.95 13.73	2.48 21.54	4.69	
	Av IE%	95 % Sulfamethoxazole	90 % Norfloxacin	86 %	
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	E _{HOMO.} E _{LUMO}	-4.87	-5.01		Guo <i>et al.</i> [56]
	ΔE	-1.16 3.71	-1.99 302 12.14		
	Av IE%	6.89 67 %	12.14 84 %		
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	E _{HOMO.}	Benzaldehyde thiosemicarbazone	4-carboxybenz	2-carboxybenz	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [57]
	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$	-5.97 -2.14	-6.19 -2.74 3.45	-6.09 -2.55	
	μ	3.82 5.45	3.45 3.19 93 %	3.54 4.33	
	Av IE%	91 % Dibenzylamine-quinoline derivative	93 %	92 %	
DET	E _{HOMO.} E _{LUMO}	-8.43			Listal
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	E_{LUMO} ΔE	-6.05 2.30 10.74			Li <i>et al.</i> [58]
	Av IE%	10.74 99 %			
	E _{HOMO.}	Venlafaxine			
DFT		venaraxine			
	E _{LUMO}	4.32 -0.72			Bashir
(B3LYP/6-31G)	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59			Bashir et al.[59]
(B3LYP/6-31G)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	Nanhthuziding derivative 2	Nanhthuriding derivative 3	Bashir et al.[59]
	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	Naphthyridine-derivative 2 -4.42	Naphthyridine-derivative 3	et al.[59]
DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	Naphthyridine-derivative 2 -4.42 -2.10 2.32	 Naphthyridine-derivative 3 -5.29 -2.08 3.21	Bashir et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60]
	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 86% Naphthyridine-derivative 1 -4.21 -2.12 2.10 	Naphthyridine-derivative 2 -4.42 -2.10 2.32 	Naphthyridine-derivative 3 -5.29 -2.08 3.21 -85 %	et al.[59]
DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ AV IE\% \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	Naphthyridine-derivative 2 -4.42 -2.10 2.32 	Naphthyridine-derivative 3 -5.29 -2.08 3.21 -85 %	et al.[59]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 			<i>et al.</i> [59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 			et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -4.32\\ -0.72\\ 3.59\\ \hline \\ \hline \textbf{Naphthyridine-derivative 1}\\ -4.21\\ -2.12\\ 2.10\\ \hline \\ -94\%\\ \hline \textbf{Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone}\\ -5.35\\ -1.83\\ 3.52\\ 5.92\\ 96\%\\ \hline \end{array}$	91%		<i>et al.</i> [59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E \\ \mu \\ AV IE\% \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ AV IE\% \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ AV IE\% \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ AV IE\% \\ E_{HOMO} \\ E_{HOMO} $	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	91%	85 %	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$	-4.32 -0.72 3.59 	91 % Ethyl5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26	85 %	<i>et al.</i> [59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \end{array}$	4.32 -0.72 3.59 	91 % Ethyl5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$ μ $Av IE\%$ $E_{HOMO.}$ $E_{LUMO} \Delta E$ μ $Av IE\%$	4.32 -0.72 3.59 	91 % Ethyl 5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane	85 %	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E$ μ $Av IE\%$ $E_{HOMO.}$ $E_{LUMO} \Delta E$ μ $Av IE\%$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.32 \\ -0.72 \\ 3.59 \\ \hline \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Naphthyridine-derivative 1 $\begin{array}{r} 4.21 \\ -2.12 \\ 2.10 \\ \hline \\ 94\% \end{array}$ Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone $\begin{array}{r} -5.35 \\ -1.83 \\ 3.52 \\ 5.92 \\ 96\% \end{array}$ Ethyl 2-(8-hydroxyquinoline) $\begin{array}{r} -5.83 \\ -1.43 \\ 4.40 \\ 6.03 \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Harmane $\begin{array}{r} -5.58 \\ -1.02 \\ \end{array}$	91 % Ethyl 5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and Adeleke [62] Lebrini
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.32 \\ -0.72 \\ 3.59 \\ \hline \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Naphthyridine-derivative 1 $\begin{array}{r} 4.21 \\ -2.12 \\ 2.10 \\ \hline \\ 94\% \end{array}$ Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone $\begin{array}{r} -5.35 \\ -1.83 \\ 3.52 \\ 5.92 \\ 96\% \end{array}$ Ethyl 2-(8-hydroxyquinoline) $\begin{array}{r} -5.83 \\ -1.43 \\ 4.40 \\ 6.03 \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Harmane $\begin{array}{r} -5.58 \\ -1.02 \\ \end{array}$	91% Ethyl5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane -5.64 -1.10 4.54	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and Adeleke [62]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ \\ E_{HOMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -4.32\\ -0.72\\ 3.59\\ \hline \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Naphthyridine-derivative 1 $\begin{array}{r} -4.21\\ -2.12\\ 2.10\\ \hline \\ -94\% \end{array}$ Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone $\begin{array}{r} -5.35\\ -1.83\\ 3.52\\ 5.92\\ 96\% \end{array}$ Ethyl 2-(8-hydroxyquinoline) $\begin{array}{r} -5.83\\ -1.43\\ 4.40\\ 6.03\\ 86\% \end{array}$ Harmane $\begin{array}{r} -5.58\\ -1.02\\ -4.56\\ 4.06\\ 92\% \end{array}$	91 % Ethyl 5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and Adeleke [62] Lebrini
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT	$E_{LUMO} \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ E_{HOMO.} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ E_{HOMO.} $	$\begin{array}{r} -4.32\\ -0.72\\ 3.59\\ \hline \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Naphthyridine-derivative 1 -4.21 -2.12 2.10 $\overline{}$ Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone -5.35 -1.83 3.52 5.92 96% Ethyl 2-(8-hydroxyquinoline) -5.83 -1.43 4.40 6.03 86% Harmane -5.58 -1.02 4.56 4.06 92% Nifeclipine -4.386	91% Ethyl5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane -5.64 -1.10 4.54	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and Adeleke [62] Lebrini et al. [63]
DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G) DFT (B3LYP/6-31G)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ E_{HOMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ \\ E_{HOMO} \\ E_{LUMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ E_{HOMO} \\ \Delta E \\ \mu \\ Av IE\% \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.32 \\ -0.72 \\ 3.59 \\ \hline \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Naphthyridine-derivative 1 $\begin{array}{r} 4.21 \\ -2.12 \\ 2.10 \\ \hline \\ 94\% \end{array}$ Benzaldehyde thio semicaraozone $\begin{array}{r} -5.35 \\ -1.83 \\ 3.52 \\ 5.92 \\ 96\% \end{array}$ Ethyl 2-(8-hydroxyquinoline) $\begin{array}{r} -5.83 \\ -1.43 \\ 4.40 \\ 6.03 \\ 86\% \end{array}$ Harmane $\begin{array}{r} -5.58 \\ -1.02 \\ 4.56 \\ 4.06 \\ 92\% \end{array}$ Nifeclipine	91% Ethyl5(benzylamine) -5.75 -1.49 4.26 4.48 88% Norharmane -5.64 -1.10 4.54	85 % Ethyl 5(azidomethyl) -5.72 -1.80 3.92 2.76	et al.[59] Ansari and Quraishi [60] Salman et al. [61] Erazua and Adeleke [62] Lebrini

.

It can be observed that the molecules E_{LUMO} is lower than their E_{HOMO} , and that ΔE and μ values are within the range of those for excellent corrosion inhibitors.

Corrosion inhibition studies by DFT and *Ab initio* methods

Ozcan *et al.* [10] theoretically explored the interactions between methionine molecules and a metal surface, by using the B3LYP/6-311G (d, p) method. The Fukui function index A, which is a local type of reactivity criterion, was considered, and Fukui indices were determined for N+1 and N-1 electron species, at the geometry of the methionic molecule reference N-electron. Their results showed that the FMO (HOMO and LUMO) localization and the condensed Fukui functions (f⁺ and f⁺) analysis in the reactive region were very useful to characterize organic adsorbates.

Zarrouk *et al.* [11] investigated some quinoline derivatives, namely 1, 4-dihydroquinoxaline-2,3-dione(Q₁) and 2-phenylthieno[2,3-b] quinoxaline (Q₂), as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel (MS), using the B3LYP/6-31G DFT. The calculated values of quantum chemical parameters, such as E_{HOMO}, E_{LUMO}, energy gap (Δ_E), dipole moment (μ), electronegativity (X), electron affinity (A), global hardness (n), softness (σ), ionization potential (IP), fraction of transferred electrons (Δ N), global electrophilicity (w) and energy total (E_{tot}) were correlated with their experimental results.

The *Ab initio* method with HF/LANL2DZ basis set was used by Hongfang *et al.* [12], to investigate the theoretical parameters of the inhibition property of three nitrogen-heterocyclic compounds, namely 3,5-dimethy-1H-pyrazole, pyridine and 2-(3-methyl-H-Pyrazole-5-yl) pyridine against steel corrosion [12]. Their results revealed a good relationship between the corrosion IE and E_{HOMO} . They also found that the lower the value of the combined energy, the higher the stability of the formed complex, confirming the inhibitor good corrosion protection property.

Rodríguez-Valdez *et al.* [13] investigated the electronic and molecular structures of several heterocyclic compounds, namely 1, 3, 4-thiadiazole and its derivatives, using the B3LYP/STO-3G basis set. E_{tot} , ΔE , E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} , μ and η parameters were all calculated for each of the derivatives, both in the liquid and gas phases, of which results show no significant difference on the heterocyclic compounds structures.

Feng *et al.* [14] investigated adsorbate-surface interactions using the B3LYP/6-31G basis set method. They carried out some calculations of a self-assembled monolayer of 2-mercapto-benzothiazole (MBT), to obtain the following parameters: atomic charges, E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} and the interaction energy between MBT and iron (Fe). Benzothiazole results were compared with those of other studied molecules. The two MBTs E_{HOMO} (-624.1 kJ/mol⁻¹ for thiol and -583.5 kJ/mol⁻¹ for thione) were larger than those of benzothiazole (-547 kJ/mol⁻¹). These data indicate the significance of the exocyclic sulphur (S) atom that informs the self-assembled monolayers on Fe. The calculated interaction energy also revealed that MBT was chemically adsorbed onto the Fe surface, most likely through the exocyclic S atom.

Ab initio and a modified DFT called PBEg, with 3-21G, CBSB2, CBSB7, CBSB4 and CBSB1 basis sets, were used by Rodríguez-Valdez *et al.* [15], to

study 4-amino-1, 2, 3, 5-thiatriazole and 5-amino-1, 2, 3, 4-thiatriazole compounds. A comparison between the results of this study and of previous computational simulations was made, since there were no experimental data for the researched compounds. A good agreement was found between those findings, which means that precise data could be obtained by using the modified DFT.

Rodríguez-Valdez *et al.* [16] also reported a similar study on the effectiveness of hydroxyethyl, aminoethyl and amidoethyl, using the PBEg functional with the CBSB2 basis set.

Hasanov *et al.* [17] studied the inhibitory performance of two Schiff base compounds, 2-[(4-Methoxy-phenylimino)-methyl]-phenol and 1-[(4-methoxyphenyl)imino]methyl-2-naphthol, on steel corrosion in a sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) solution. Frontier orbital energies, Mulliken charges of possible adsorption sites and μ parameters were calculated using a B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set. The theoretical data were found to be in good agreement with the experimental results.

Ju *et al.* [18] reported the theoretical study of the electronic and molecular structures of aminic nitrogen-containing polydenate Schiff's base compounds using a B3LYP/6-31G basis set. E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} , charge distribution, absolute X values and the fraction of electrons transferred (ΔN) from the inhibitor to Fe were calculated. The results show that the inhibition efficiency (IE) increased in E_{HOMO} and decreased in ΔE .

Yan *et al.* [19] presented purines and their derivatives, guanine, adenine, 2,6-diaminopurine, 6-thioguanine and 2, 6-dithiopurine, as corrosion inhibitors for MS in a 1 M HCl solution, using the 6-31GDFT method. The Mulliken partial charges, E_{HOMO} , E_{HOMO} , ΔE and μ were calculated, and the IE was associated with Δ_E and the frontier orbital electron density. It was observed that purines were physically adsorbed onto MS.

Imidazole, benzimidazole and their derivatives IE was investigated by Zhang *et al.* [20], using the B3LYP/6-31G method. They calculated the number of quantum chemical parameters, such as E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , ΔE , charge distribution, μ and molecular connectivity index (MCI), showing the molecule steric hindrance effect. The results revealed that E_{HOMO} was the most statistically significant term influencing the corrosion IEs: the greater the E_{HOMO} , the greater the IE.

FMO energies, ΔE , MO densities and μ of newly synthesized compounds, such as triazole derivatives, 4-chloro-acetophenone-O-(1,3,3-triazolyl)-metheneoxime (CATM), 4-fluoro-acetophenone-O-1-(1,3,4-triazolyl)-metheneoxime (FATM) and 4-methoxyl-aceto-phenone-O-1-(1,3,4-triazoyl)-metheneoxime (MATM), were investigated by Li *et al.* [21] as corrosion inhibitors for MS in acidic media, using *Ab initio* method and a 3-21G basis set. They were unable to find a direct relationship between the molecules IE and E_{HOMO}, E_{LUMO} and μ . Rather, they reduced the adsorption process complexity by employing the free energy of adsorption (ΔG_{ads}) values obtained from the thermodynamic consideration. Based on ΔG_{ads} and on the calculated quantum chemical parameters, they observed two types of interactions, chemisorption and physisorption, as being responsible for the thetriazole derivatives inhibition behavior. Ebenso et al. [8] investigated four rhodanine azosulpha drugs (5sulfadiazineazo-3-phenyl-2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinone, 5-sulfamethazineazo-3-phenyl-2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinone, 5-sulfadimethoxineazo-3-phenyl-2thioxo-4-thiazolidinone and 5-sulfamethoxazoleazo-3-phenyl-2-thioxo-4thiazolidinone), as corrosion inhibitors for MS in an acidic medium, using DFT at the B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) and B3LYP/6-311G (d, p) basis set levels. Ab initio calculations using the HF/6-31G (d, p) and HF/6-311G (d, p) methods for quantum chemical parameters/descriptors, namely, E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , ΔE , μ , A, I, X, η , σ , polarizability (α), Mulliken charges and fraction of electrons transferred (ΔN) from the inhibitors to Fe, were calculated and correlated with the experimental IE%. Quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) approach and a composite index of some quantum chemical parameters/descriptors were also considered to characterize the studied molecules IE. The results showed that the IE% of the studied rhodanine azosulfa drugs was closely related to their theoretical IE%, but with varying degrees of the correlation coefficient (R^2). The IE% also increased in E_{HOMO} and decreased in E_{HOMO}-E_{LUMO}, and the areas containing N and S atoms were the most possible sites for bonding to the Fe surface, by donating the lone pair electrons to its empty d-orbital.

Corrosion inhibition studies by semi-empirical methods

The molecular structure effect on the inhibitor efficiency was studied by Al-Sabagh et al. [22], using H-F and PM3 (Parameterized Model no 3) level of theories. The electronic properties, such as EHOMO, ELUMO, µ and molecular orbital densities, were correlated with experimental data, and the results were in good agreement. Khaled and Amin [23] computationally and experimentally investigated the adsorption and corrosion inhibition behavior of four selected piperidine derivatives for nickel (Ni) in a 1.0 M HNO₃ solution, using MD simulation, quantum chemical calculations and electrochemical Tafel and impedance methods. The MD simulation was performed using commercial software material studio, while quantum chemical calculations based on the Ab initio method were also performed to determine the relationship between the piperidine derivatives molecular structures and their IE. Results obtained from Tafel and impedance methods were in line with the data of the theoretical studies. The corrosion inhibition effect of some imidazole derivatives on aluminum (Al) in hydrochloric acid (HCl) was also examined by Khaled and Amin [24]. They used the Monte Carlo simulation technique, incorporating molecular mechanisms and dynamics to simulate the imidazole derivatives adsorption. Results of both techniques confirmed the derivatives good inhibitive action against Al corrosion. Khaled [25] recently conducted a research on molecular simulation tools to optimize adsorbed triazole derivatives (aminotriazole and benzotriazole) structure. The Fe /inhibitor/solvent interface was simulated, and the charges on the inhibitor molecules, as well as their structural parameters, were calculated, considering the solvent effects. According to the experimental and theoretical data, aminotriazole was found to be better than benzotriazole.

Cardoso *et al.* [26-28] made a detailed experimental and theoretical investigation on 23 different compounds, including amines, thiourea derivatives and acetylenicalcohols, as corrosion inhibitors for 22% Cr stainless steel (austeniticferritic, duplex) in HCl solutions, employing AM1 (Austin model 1) and the quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR). The AM1 method was used for calculating the quantum chemical parameters. Their results show that the experimental data correlated well with the theoretical information.

Other compounds, such as zinc di-alkyl-di-thiophosphates [29, 30], potassium ethyl xanthate [31], phthalocyanines [32], polymethylene amines [33], benzyl triphenylphosphonium bromide [34], hydrazine carbodithioic acid derivatives [35], aliphatic amines [36], pyrimidine derivatives [37], piperazine derivatives acids and hydroxy carboxylic acids [39], phenyl-N,N-[38]. amino dimorpholinemethanes [40], aniline trimers [41], triblock copolymers [42], quarternary ammonium salts [43], organophosphorus compounds [44], parachlorobenzene nitriles [45], maleimide and its derivatives [46], anhydrides and imides [47], and substituted catechols and pyrogallols [48], were also investigated, using both experimental and semi empirical methods. Although there is no general way of predicting the potential of the studied compounds to be good corrosion inhibitors, their electron structural parameters have been extensively correlated with their corrosion IEs.

Based on this theory, attempts were made by Babic'-Samardzija *et al.* [49] to correlate some molecular parameters of N-heterocyclic amines (piperidine (pip), 2-methyl piperidine (2mp), 3-methyl piperidine (3mp), 2,6- dimethyl piperidine (26dp) and 3,5-dimethyl piperidine (35dp)) with their corrosion IEs, using PM3, AM1 and MNDO (modified neglect of differential overlap) semi-empirical methods. The compounds molecular structure effect on their inhibiting properties has been considered in terms of their electronic and chemical structures.

These researchers firstly studied the effect of electron density, which is the charge on the nitrogen atom and on the entire heterocyclic ring. Secondly, they investigated the effect of structural changes in terms of bond distances and angles. The E_{tot} was obtained after the nuclear coordinates geometric optimization, according to the results of their computational study. A a relationship between amino compounds molecular parameters and their inhibition properties was found. Although this relationship is not so simple and straightforward as it might be expected, a number of neglected parameters that could be involved in it, such as surface and solution characteristics, could give, at least, a simplified explanation. It is also clear that these N-heterocyclic amines inhibition behavior could be due to the charge on the nitrogen atom, and to the sum of the net charge of the six atoms from the cyclic ring [49].

In general, any measurable property of an atomic or molecular system can be derived from the Schrodinger equation. The semi-empirical molecular orbital methods have been widely used in computational chemistry studies throughout the past decades. In order to speed up the calculations, semi-empirical methods have been used, ignoring several smaller integrals. Empirical parameters are inserted into the remaining integrals, in order to compensate for the errors caused by these approximations, and are measured against accurate experimental or theoretical reference results. This strategy can only be effective, if the semi-empirical model maintains the essential physics, to describe the properties of interest. The parameterization will account for all other effects in an average context, and assess the numerical accuracy of a given method will validate it. Semi-empirical methods are useful statistical instruments in current practice, producing quick quantitative estimates for various properties [66]. This can be especially useful in comparing large sets of experimental and theoretical data, classifying patterns in similar molecular groups, and solving a computational problem, before continuing with higher-level methods.

With regard to the semi-empirical methods precision and applicability breadth, there is a need to improve them, without compromising their computational efficiency. Moreover, is necessary to create new algorithms, in order to explore modern computer architectures, and expand semi-empirical calculations for larger molecules. A large number of semi-empirical methods with different acronyms has been developed over the years, including AM1 [67], MNDO [68] and PM3 [69].

Concerning the approximations details (e.g. the core-core repulsion functions) and, especially, the parameters values, the semi-empirical methods differ. For various purposes, semi-empirical approaches can be optimized. MNDO, AM1 and PM3 methods have been developed to reproduce the formation of several organic molecules heat and structure. Other semi-empirical methods for studying spectroscopic properties include INDO/S (intermediate neglect of differential overlap) or CNDO/S (complete neglect of differential overlap), and are precisely optimized.

MNDO

MNDO depends on the NDDO (neglect of the diatomic differential overlap) approximation, which is an improved version of the INDO method. INDO itself is an improvement of the CNDO approximation. There are several such semiempirical LCAO-MO (linear combination of atomic orbitals) methods, developed for specific purposes [66].

AM1

AM1 is a semi-empirical method that depends on the NDDO integral. Specifically, it is a generalization of the modified NDDO approximation. AM1 was developed by Michael Dewar and coworkers, as reported in 1985 [67].

AM1 attempts to improve the MNDO model, by reducing atoms repulsion at close separation distances. The atomic core terms in the MNDO equations were modified through the addition of off-center attractive and repulsive Gaussian functions. The parameterization problem complexity was higher in AM1, as its number of parameters per atom increased from 7, in MNDO, to 13-6.

РМЗ

PM3 was developed by Stewart, and first reported in 1989 [68]. It is another semiempirical method based on the NDDO integral approximation.

The only differences between PM3 and AM1 are: PM3 uses two Gaussian functions for the core repulsion function, instead of the varied number used by AM1 (between one and four Gaussians per element); the parameters numerical

values are different; and whereas PM3 treats some of the parameter values as optimized, AM1 takes them from spectroscopic measurements.

Mathematical methods ΔE

In quantum chemical studies, ΔE is an important computational parameter used for the prediction of the corrosion IE. It is a theoretical model that explains the structure and confirmation barrier of many molecular systems. Equation (1) is used to calculate ΔE [65].

$$\Delta E = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO} \tag{1}$$

IP and EA

IP is the maximum energy needed to remove an electron from many electron atoms in a gas phase (equation 2), whereas EA is the energy released when an electron is attached to a gas phase atom (equation 3).

$$\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{g})} \to \mathrm{H}^{+}_{(\mathrm{g})} + \mathrm{e}^{-} \tag{2}$$

$$X_{(g)} + e^{-} \rightarrow X^{-}_{(g)} \tag{3}$$

The IP and EA were calculated using Equations 4 and 5.

$$IP = - E_{HOMO}$$
(4)

$$EA = -E_{LUMO}$$
(5)

Absolute electronegativity (χ)

 χ shows how atoms can attract electrons towards them. The Koopman's theorem is always used in the estimation of a compound χ , according to equation (6).

$$\chi = -\frac{1}{2} (E_{\rm HOMO} + E_{\rm LUMO}) \tag{6}$$

The higher the χ value, the more effective is the inhibitor, and vice versa.

η and σ

The measurement of an atom resistance to charge transfer is considered by η , while σ describes the capacity of an atom or group of atoms to receive electrons [8, 65]. These parameters are computed with the following equations:

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2} (E_{\text{HOMO}} - E_{\text{LUMO}})$$
(7)
$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\eta}$$
(8)

Generally, a hard molecule and a soft molecule require large and small ΔEs , respectively. That means soft molecules can easily offer electrons to an acceptor system that makes them more reactive than hard molecules. Besides, adsorption may likely occur at the point of a molecule where σ is high [55].

μ

The μ measure of polarity in a bond, which involves the distribution of electrons in a molecule, is a powerful index frequently used to predict the corrosion

inhibition process. Inhibitors with high μ values form strong dipole-dipole interactions with the metal, showing a strong adsorption onto its metal surface, which leads to higher IEs [65].

w index

High and low electrophilicity index values reflect a good electrophile and nucleophile, respectively. The relationship between electrophilicity and chemical hardness gives global electrophilicity index (ω), according to the equation 9.

$$\omega = \frac{\chi^2}{2\eta} \tag{9}$$

 ΔN can be evaluated using the following equation:

$$\Delta N = \frac{W - \chi_{inh}}{2(\eta_{Fe} + \eta_{inh})} \tag{10}$$

where W is the work function, χ_{inh} is the inhibitor electronegativity, while η_{Fe} and η_{inh} are Fe and the inhibitor global hardness, respectively. The work function is always given as W = 4.82, for the Fe (110) surface.

When the value of $\Delta N > 0$, the electron transfer takes place between an inhibitor molecule and the metal surface, and when the value of $\Delta N < 3.6$, the electron donating ability of an inhibitor molecule is increased.

Atomic charges

It is clear that electric charges in the molecule are responsible for electrostatic interactions. In several chemical reactions, and for the compounds physico-chemical properties, the local electron densities or charges are significant. In order to determine chemical reactivity indices of weak intermolecular interactions, charge-based parameters have been employed.

The definition of a partial atomic charge is somewhat subjective, despite its utility, because it depends on the method used to delimit one atom from the next.

There are several methods for calculating the partial charges.

Mulliken population analysis [69] is primarily used to measure the distribution of the charge in a molecule.

This method facilitates obtaining these numerical quantities and offers a qualitative understanding of the molecules structure and reactivity [70].

In addition, for the explanation of the molecular polarity, atomic charges are used. Mulliken charges are used to locate the corrosion inhibitor molecules adsorption centers. High negatively charge atoms have a greater tendency to be adsorbed onto the metal surface [71-79].

Conclusions

The literature survey of computational chemistry studies is shown in Table 1, which reveals that computational chemistry software is a powerful tool to investigate the fundamental molecular level process for metals and alloys corrosion inhibition.

The role of computational chemistry study is to focus on the reduction of corrosion control costs.

Authors' contributions

F. E. Abeng: conceived and designed the work; sourced for data and materials for the drafting of this research paper; wrote the draft. **B. E. Nyong**: performed the review before submission. **M. E. Ikpi**: contributed with data and materials that were used for this research paper. **M. E. Obeten**: contributed with materials that were used for this paper.

References

- Obot IB, Ebenso EE, Dau DQ. Discovery of Novel Molecules for Corrosion Protection Using Computational Chemistry. Front Chem. 2018;6:277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2018.00277
- 2. Salasi M, Shahrabi T, Roayaei E, et al. The electrochemical behavior of environment-friendly inhibitors of silicate and phosphonate in corrosion control of carbon steel in soft water media. Mat Chem Phy. 2007;104:183-190. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.matchemphys.2007.03.008
- 3. Obot IB, Eduok UB. Benzimidazole: small planar molecule with diverse anti-corrosion potentials. J Mol Liquid. 2017;246:66-90. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2017.09.041
- Obot IB, Onyeachu IB, Kumar AM, et al. Sodium alginate: a promising biopolymer for corrosion protection of API X60 high strength carbon steel in saline medium. Carbohydr Polym. 2017;178:200-208. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.09.049
- 5. Gece G. The use of quantum chemical methods in corrosion inhibitor studies. Corros Sci. 2008;50:2981-2992. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2008.08.043
- 6. Hohenberg P, Kohn W. In homogeneous Electron Gas. Phys Rev. 1964;136: 864-863.
- 7. Kohn W, Sham LJ. Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects. Phys Rev. 1965;140:1133-1138.
- 8. Ebenso EE, Arslan T, Kandemirli F, et al. Quantum chemical studies of some rhodanine azosulpha drugs as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in acidic medium. Int J Quantum Chem. 2010; 110:1003-1018. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qua.22249
- 9. F. Jensen Introduction to computational chemistry. John Wiley and Sons. Chichester, 2007.
- Özcan Muzafer, Karadag F, Dehri I. Investigation of adsorption characteristics of methionine at mild steel/sulfuric acid interface: An experimental and theoretical study. Colloids Surf. 2007;316(1):55-61 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2007.08.023 12-17.
- 11. Zarrouk A, El-Ouali I, Bouachrine M, et al. Theoretical Approach to the Corrosion IE of some quinoxaline derivatives of steel in acid media using the DFT method. Res Chem intermed. 2013;39(3):1125-1133. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11164-012-0671-1
- 12. Hongfang M, Shenhao C, Zhibao L, et al. Theoretical elucidation on the inhibition mechanism of pyridine–pyrazole compound: A Hartree Fock study. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2006;74:19-22. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2006.06.044
- 13. Rodríguez-Valdez LM, Martinez-Villafane A, Glossman-Mitnik D. Computational simulation of the molecular structure and properties of heterocyclic organic

compounds with possible corrosion inhibition properties. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2005;713:65-70. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2004.10.036

- Feng Y, Chen S, Zhang H. Characterization of Fe surface modified by 2mercaptobenzothiazole self-assembled monolayers. Appl Surf Sci. 2006;253(5):2812-2819. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2006.05.061
- Rodríguez-Valdez LM, Martinez-Villafane A, et al. CHIH-DFT Theoretical Study of Isomeric Thiatriazoles and their Potential Activity as Corrosion Inhibitors. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 716(1-3):61-65. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2004.10.082
- 16. Rodríguez-Valdez LM, Villamisar W, Casales MJ, et al. Computational simulations of the molecular structure and corrosion properties of amidoethyl, aminoethyl and hydroxyethylimidazolines inhibitors. Corros Sci. 2006;48:4053-4064. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2006.05.036
- Hasanov R, Sadıkoğlu M, Semra Bilgiç S. Electrochemical and quantum chemical studies of some Schiff bases on the corrosion of steel in H₂SO₄ solution. Appl Surf Sci. 2007;253(8):3913-3921. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2006.08.025
- Ju H, Kai ZP, Li Y. Aminic nitrogen-bearing polydentate Schiff base compounds as corrosion inhibitors for Fe in acidic media: A quantum chemical calculation. Corros Sci. 2008;50:865-871. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2007.10.009
- Yan L, Li W, Cai L, et al. Electrochemical and quantum chemical study of purines as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in 1 M HCl solution. Electrochim Acta. 2008;53:5953-5960. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2008.03.065
- Zhang SC, Xia WMZ, Wang FY. QSAR study on N-containing corrosion inhibitors: Quantum chemical approach assisted by topological index. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2005;732:173-182. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2005.02.091
- 21. Li W, He Q, Pei C et al. Experimental and theoretical investigation of the adsorption behaviour of new triazole derivatives as inhibitors for mild steel corrosion in acid media. Electrochim Acta. 2007;52,(22):6386-6394. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.04.077
- 22. Al-Sabagh AM, Migahed MA, Sadeek S.A, et al. Inhibition of mild steel corrosion and calcium sulfate formation in highly saline synthetic water by a newly synthesized anionic carboxylated surfactant. Egyptian J Petroleum. 2018;27 (4):811-821. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2017.12.003
- Khaled KF, Amin MA. Computational and electrochemical investigation for corrosion inhibition of nickel in molar nitric acid by piperidines. J Appl Electrochem. 2008;38:1609-1621. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10800-008-9604-5
- 24. Khaled K, Amin MA. Electrochemical and MDs simulation studies on the corrosion inhibition of aluminum in molar HCl using some imidazole derivatives. J Appl Electrochem. 2009;39(12):2553-2568. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10800-009-9951-x
- 25. Khaled KF. Molecular simulation, quantum chemical calculations and electrochemical studies for inhibition of mild steel by triazoles. Electrochim Acta. 2008;53:3484-3492. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.12.030
- Cardoso SP, Hallaver EL, Borges EP, et al. QSPR Prediction Analysis of Corrosion Inhibition in HCl on 22%-Cr Stainless Steel. J Braz Chem Soc. 2006;7(7):1241-1249. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-50532006000700008

- 27. Cardoso SP, Gomes JACP, Borges LEP, et al. Predictive QSPR analysis of corrosion inhibitors for super 13% Cr steel in HCl. Braz J Chem Eng. 2007;24:547-559. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-66322007000400008
- Baddini ALQ, Cardoso SP, Hollauer E, et al. Statistical analysis of corrosion inhibitor family on three steel surfaces (duplex, super-13 and carbon) in HCl solutions. Electrochim Acta. 2007;53:434-446. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.06.050
- 29. Amstrong DR, Ferrari ES, Robert KJ, et al. An investigation into the molecular stability of zinc di-alkyl-di-thiophosphates (ZDDPs) in relation to their use as anti-wear and anti-corrosion additives in lubricating oils. Wear. 1997; 208:138-146.
- 30. Amstrong DR, Ferrari ES, Robert KJ, et al. An examination of the reactivity of zinc di-alkyl-di-thiophosphate in relation to its use as an anti-wear and anti-corrosion additive in lubricating oils. Wear. 1998;217:276-287.
- 31. Scendo M. Potassium ethyl xanthate as corrosion inhibitor for copper in acidic chloride solutions. Corros Sci. 2005;47(7):1738-1749. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2004.08.015
- Zhao P, Liang Q, Li Y. Electrochemical, SEM/EDS and quantum chemical study of phthalocyanines as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in 1 mol/L HCl. Appl Surf Sci. 2005;252(5):1596-1607. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2005.02.121
- Khaled KF, Babic-Samardzija K, Hackerman N. Theoretical study of the structural effects of polymethylene amines on corrosion inhibition of Fe in acid solutions. Electrochim Acta. 2005;50(12):2515-2520. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2004.10.079
- Bhrara K, Singh G. The inhibition of corrosion of mild steel in 0.5 M sulfuric acid solution in the presence of benzyl triphenylphosphonium bromide. Appl Surf Sci. 2006;253:846-853. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2006.01.021
- 35. Khaled KF. Experimental and theoretical study for corrosion inhibition of mild steel in HCl solution by some new hydrazine carbodithioicacid derivatives. Appl Surf Sci. 2006;252:4120-4128. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2005.06.016
- 36. Sastri VS, Perumareddi JR. Selection of corrosion inhibitors for use in sour media. Corros Sci Sect (NACE). 1994;432-437.
- Bereket G, Og'retir C, Yaman M, et al. Tautomeric studies on 2-mercapto pyrimidines and their significance in corrosion process. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2003;625:31-38. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1280(02)00742-X
- Bereket G, Og'retir C, Ozsahin C. Quantum chemical studies on the inhibition efficiencies of some piperazine derivatives for the corrosion of steel in acidic medium. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2003;663:39-46. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2003.08.062
- 39. Yurt A, Bereket G, Og'retir C. Quantum chemical studies on inhibition effect of amino acids and hydroxy carboxylic acids on pitting corrosion of aluminium alloy 7075 in NaCl solution. J Mol Struct (Theochem). 2005;725:215-221. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2005.01.048
- 40. Kouznetsov DL, Podkopeva OY, Shevchenko SM, et al. Relationship between the inhibition effect and electron structure of phenyl-N,N dimorpholinemethanes, in: Proceedings of the 9th European Symposium on

Corrosion Inhibitors (9 SEIC), Ann. Univ. Ferrara, N.S., Sez. V, Suppl. N. 11 (2000), 1075-1086.

- 41. Sein JrL T, Wei Y, Jansen SA. The role of adsorption of aniline trimers on the corrosion inhibition process: a ZINDO/1 study. Comput Theor Polym Sci. 2001;11:83-88. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1089-3156(99)00092-6
- 42. Yurt A, Butun V, Duran B. Effect of the molecular weight and structure of some novel water-soluble triblock copolymers on the electrochemical behaviour of mild steel. Mater Chem Phys. 2007;105:114-121. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2007.04.009
- 43. Bereket G, Gulec M, Yurt A. Inhibition efficiencies of some organic compounds on the corrosion of zinc in alkaline media. Anti-corros Meth Mater. 2006;53:52-56. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00035590610637465
- 44. Mutombo P, Hackerman N. The effect of some organophosphoruscompounds on the corrosion behaviour of Fe 6 M HCl. Anti-corros Meth Mater. 1998; 45:413-418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/00035599810236559
- 45. Xuejun X, Shuman C, Ling P, et al. Quantum chemistry calculation study on the IE of the para-chlorobenzene nitriles on carbon steel in HCl, Anti-corros Meth Mater. 2004;51:101-104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/00035590410523184
- 46. Lazarova E, Yankova T, Aleksiev B. Adsorption of maleimide and its derivatives on an Fe electrode in acidic and neutral media. Langmuir. 1995;11:2231-2236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00241517
- 47. Lazarova E, Kalcheva S, Neykov G, et al. Electrochemical investigation of the adsorption behaviour of anhydrides and imides on Fe in acid medium. J Appl Electrochem. 2000;30:561-570. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003826712515
- Affrossman S, Daviot J, Holmes D, et al. Molecular design for inhibition of titanium corrosion in resist cleaner systems. Corros Sci. 2001;43:939-950. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2FS0010-938X(00)00117-7
- 49. Babić-Samardžija K, Khaled KF and Hackerman N. (2005). Nheterocyclic amines and derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for Fe in perchloric acid. Anti-Corr Method and Mat. 2005;52(1): 11-21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/00035590510574871
- 50. Tang J, Hu Y, Han Z, et al. Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Synergistic Inhibition Effect of Pyridine Derivatives and Sulfur-Containing Compounds on the Corrosion of Carbon Steel in CO2-Saturated 3.5 wt.% NaCl Solution. Molecules. 2018;23:3270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23123270
- 51. Saha SK, Hens A, Roychowdhury A, et al. MDs and Density Functional Theory Study on Corrosion Inhibitory Action of Three Substituted Pyrazine Derivatives on Steel Surface. Canad Chem Transc. 2014;2(4):489-503. DOI: https://doi.org/10.13179/canchemtrans.2014.02.04.0137
- Oyebamiji AK, Lasisi BA, Oyebamiji EO, et al. Theoretical Evaluation of Pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine-thiones Analogues as Corrosion Inhibitors for Carbon Steel in HCl. Int J Modern Chem. 2018;10(2):138-153.
- 53. Khadom AA, Hassan KH, Kurshed NH, et al. Quantum Chemical study of Citrus aurantium leaves extracts as a sustainable corrosion inhibitor of mild steel in sulfuric acid. Aust J Basic Appl Sci. 2016;10(15):127-133.

- 54. El-Aouni N, Benabida A, Cherkaoui M, et al. A new epoxy resin based organic molecule as an effective inhibitor of mild steel corrosion in a sulfuric acid medium. J Chem Tech Metal. 2018;53(5):878-890.
- 55. Fouda AS, Ismail MA, Abou-shahba RM, et al. Experimental and computational chemical studies on the cationic furanylnicotinamidines as novel corrosion inhibitors in aqueous solutions. Chinese J Chem Eng. 2019;28(2);477-491. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.201904-017
- 56. Guo L, Zhang ST, Li WP, et al. Experimental and computational studies of two antibacterial drugs as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in acid media. Mater Corros. 2013;65(9). DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/maco.201307346.
- Zhang HH, Qin LK, Chen Y, et al. Inhibition behavior of mild steel by three new benzaldehyde thiosemicarbazone derivatives in 0.5 M. R Soc Open Sci. 2019;6:1-19. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.190192
- 58. Li Y, Wang D, Zhang L. Experimental and theoretical research on a new corrosion inhibitor for effective oil and gas acidification. RSC Adv. 2019;9: 26464-26475. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA04638K
- Bashir S, Lgaz H, Chung I, et al. Potential of Venlafaxine in the inhibition of mild steel corrosion in HCl: insights from experimental and computational studies. Chem Papers. 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10/00711511696-019-00775-0
- 60. Ansari KR, Quraishi MA. Experimental and Computational Studies of Naphthyridine derivatives as Corrosion Inhibitor for N80 steel in 15% HCl. Phy E Low-dim Syst Nano. 2015. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2015.01.017
- 61. Salman TA, Samawi KA, Shneine JK, et al. Electrochemical and Computational Studies for Mild Steel Corrosion Inhibition by Benzaldehyde thiosemicarbazone in Acidic Medium. Port Electrochim Acta.2019;37(4):241-255. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4152/pea.201904241
- 62. Erazua EA, Adeleke BB. A Computational Study of Quinoline Derivatives as Corrosion Inhibitors for Mild Steel in Acidic Medium. J Appl Sci Env Fe Manag. 2019;23(10):1819-1824. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v23i10.8
- 63. Lebrini M, Robert F, Vezin H, et al. Electrochemical and quantum chemical studies of some indole derivatives as corrosion inhibitors for C38 steel in molar HCl. Corros Sci. 2010;52:3367-3376. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2010.06.009
- 64. Ikpi ME, Abeng FE. Electrochemical and Quantum Chemical Investigation on Adsorption of Nifedipine at API 5L X-52 Steel / HCl Acid Interface as Corrosion Inhibitor. Arch Metall Mater. 2020;65(1):125-131. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24425/amm.2019.131105
- 65. Abeng FE, Ikpi M E, Okpashi VE, et al. Electrochemical and Quantum chemical parameters of (-S)-9-fluoro-2,2-dihydro-3-3methyl-10 (4-methyl-1-pipera-zinyl)-70x0-7H-Pyrido[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazine-6-carboxylic acid as anti-corrosive agent for API 5L X-52 steel. J Electrochem Sci Eng. 2020;10(3):235-244. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5599/jese.744
- 66. Dewar MJ, Thiel SW. Ground states of molecules. The MNDO method. Approximations and parameters. J Am Chem Soc. 1977;99:4899-4907. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00457a004

- 67. Dewar MJS, Zoebisch EG, Healy EF, et al. Development and use of quantum mechanical molecular models. 76. AM1: a new general purpose quantum mechanical molecular model. J Am Chem Soc.1985;107:3902-3909.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00299a024
- 68. Stewart JJP. Optimization of parameters for semi-empirical methods. J Comput Chem. 1989;10:209-220. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.540100208
- 69. Murrell JN, Kettle SF, Tedder JM. The Chemical Bond. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1985.
- 70. Bello AU, Uzairu A, Shallangwa GA. Evaluation of Anticorrosion Properties of 1, 2,4-triazole Derivatives on Steel in Acidic Media using Quantum Chemical Calculation and MD Simulation Methods. Port Electrochim Acta. 2020;38(6):377-386. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4152/pea.202006377
- 71. Wazzan NA, Obot I, Kaya S. Theoretical modeling and molecular level insights into the corrosion inhibition activity of 2-amino-1, 3, 4-thiadiazole and its 5-alkyl derivatives. J Mol Liq. 2016;221:579. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.06.011
- 72. Nwankwo HU, Olasunkanmi LO, Ebenso EE. Experimental, quantum chemical and MD simulations studies on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel by some carbazole derivatives. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):2436. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02446-0
- 73. Saha SK, Banerjee P. A theoretical approach to understand the inhibition mechanism of steel corrosion with two aminobenzonitrile inhibitors. RSC Adv. 2015;5(87):71120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA15173B
- 74. Wazzan NA. DFT calculations of thiosemicarbazide, arylisothiocynates, and 1-aryl-2, 5-dithiohydrazodicarbonamides as corrosion inhibitors of copper in an aqueous chloride solution. J Ind Eng Chem. 2015;26:291. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2014.11.043
- 75. Verma C, Olasunkanmi LO, Ebenso EE, et al. Adsorption behavior of glucosamine-based, pyrimidine-fused heterocycles as green corrosion inhibitors for mild steel: experimental and theoretical studies. J Phys Chem. 2016;120(21):11598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b04429
- 76. Ezeoke AU, Adeyemi OG, Akerele OA, et al. Computational and experimental studies of 4-Aminoantipyrine as corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in sulphuric acid solution. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2012;7:534.
- Larif M, Chtita S, Adad A, et al. Predicting biological activity of Anticancer Molecules 3-ary 1-4-hydroxyquinolin-2-(1H)-one by DFT-QSAR models. Int J. 2013;3(12):32.
- 78. Murulana LC, Singh AK, Shukla SK, et al. Experimental and quantum chemical studies of some bis (trifluoromethyl-sulfonyl) imideimidazolium based ionic liquids as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in Ind Chem HC1 solution. Eng Res. 2012;51(40):13282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ie300977d
- 79. Singh P, Ebenso EE, Olasunkanmi LO, et al. Electrochemical, theoretical, and surface morphological studies of corrosion inhibition effect of green naphthyridine derivatives on mild steel in HCl. J Phys Chem. 2016;120(6):3408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b11901