Characterizing the Electrochemical Corrosion Behaviour of a Ni–28wt.% Al Composite Coating in 3.5% NaCl Solution
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Abstract

The electrochemical corrosion behaviour of an electrodeposited Ni–28wt.%Al composite coating was characterized after 24 h and 72 h immersion periods in 3.5% NaCl solution, using electrochemical and surface probe techniques. Open circuit potential (OCP) and potentiodynamic polarization revealed that the Al particles modify the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of the Ni coating by shifting its $E_{\text{OCP}}$ more negatively and increasing its anodic dissolution current density, after 24 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution. Compared with the Ni coating, the composite can exhibit well–reduced anodic current density and slightly increased cathodic current with immersion up to 72 h. XPS characterization showed that a high rate of water adsorption and rapid formation of a continuous Ni(OH)$_2$ initially occurs on the composite surface which, however, readily thickens during prolonged immersion time and promotes the corrosion product enrichment with Al$_2$O$_3$. This greatly decreased the rate of corrosion and susceptibility to pitting for the Ni–28wt.%Al composite after 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution.
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Introduction

Electrodeposited Ni coatings have been greatly employed in many fields to improve the surface finishing, wear properties and corrosion resistance of many metallic substrates. The characterization of the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of pure Ni coatings has been undertaken in neutral, alkaline and acidic
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corrosion environments using potentiodynamic polarization and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy [1].

Co–electrodeposition of Ni coatings with micron or sub–micron size particles would yield Ni matrix composite coatings with better mechanical [2–4] and electrochemical properties [5–7] than pure Ni coatings. A high content of the reinforcement particles is usually important for improving the Ni coating properties. Co–electrodeposition of Ni coatings with Al particles will yield Ni–Al composite coatings. In dry corrosion environments, electrodeposited Ni containing up to 28wt.% of Al particles exhibited greater oxidation resistance than pure Ni coating, because of the ability to enrich the NiO scale with a slow–growing, thermodynamically stable and highly resistant Al₂O₃ scale [8]. With subsequent vacuum annealing treatment, the Ni grains and Al particles in the Ni–Al composite can react to form γ–Ni₃Al intermetallic compounds in a resultant ultra–fine grain alloy coating which exhibits greater oxidation resistance and better oxide scale formation mechanism, compared with a conventional coarse grain γ–Ni₃Al alloy [9].

Unfortunately, however, reports on the characterization of the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of Ni–Al composite coatings are still lacking in the literature, thus, limiting the application of the Ni–Al composite coatings in wet environments. During the Ni corrosion it is envisaged that Al particles content up to 28wt.% may replicate the oxidation behaviour of the Ni–Al composite provided that the Al particles can participate actively in the electrochemical process and enrich the Ni corrosion product with the more resistant Al₂O₃; characterized by low solubility and conductivity, at least, in the Al₂O₃–stability pH range of 4–9 [10]. Such should be a veritable means to further improve the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of the pure Ni coating.

In the present work, we electrodeposited a Ni–28wt.%Al composite coating on Ni substrates and, thereafter, characterized its electrochemical corrosion behaviour in 3.5% NaCl solution, compared with a pure Ni coating fabricated from the same bath without Al particles. We focus on the effect of exposure time, after 24 h and 72 h, on the enrichment of the Ni corrosion product with the protective Al₂O₃.

**Experimental**

**Materials preparation**

All reagents were analytical grade, used as source without further purification. Deionized water was used for all solution preparation. Pure Ni coupons (99.99%), with dimensions 12 x 10 x 2 mm³, were used as the substrate upon which the pure Ni and Ni–Al composite coatings were electrodeposited. The substrates were prepared by mechanically abrading to final 800 mesh size using Si–C grit paper, washed with distilled water, ultrasonically cleaned in acetone to remove any form of adherent particles from the surface, and dried with a mechanical drier. Electrodeposition of the pure Ni coatings was performed at 2 A/dm² current density from a Ni–sulphate bath containing 150 g/L NiSO₄·6H₂O, 5 g/L NH₄Cl, 15 g/L H₃BO₃, 0.1 g/L C₁₂H₂₅Na-SO₄). The Ni–28wt.%Al
composite coatings were fabricated at the same current density by introducing appropriate amount of 1 µm–size Al particles into the Ni–sulphate bath [9]. After each deposition, coatings were rinsed with distilled water, ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, and oven–dried at 105 °C for 2 h.

**Surface feature and corrosion product characterization**

The surface morphology of the pure Ni and Ni–28wt.% Al composite coatings was probed in the as–deposited state and after 72 h immersion without and with subsequent polarization, using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (FEI-Inspect/OXFORDINSTRUMENTS–X–Max), hyphenated with an energy dispersive X–ray (EDAX) spectrophotometer employed for the elemental composition analysis. The corrosion products formed by pure Ni and Ni–28wt.% Al composite coatings were characterized: (i) as–polarized after 24 h immersion and (ii) after 72 h immersion without and with subsequent polarization, by X–ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using XPS–ESCALAB 250 Thermo VG X–ray spectrometer with monochromatic AlKα (1486.6 eV) radiation source at a pass energy of 1 keV and sputtering rate 0.04 nm/s on a sample area of 2 mm X 2 mm. Sputtering was performed for 0, 50 and 200 s. Spectra peak deconvolution of the Ni 2p3/2, Al 2p3/2 and O 1s peaks was performed with the aid of XPSPEAK4.1 processing software (Chemistry Ltd., CUHK) in the Shirley background after calibrating with the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV. Considering that the surface of the corrosion product layer, i.e., at 0 s sputtering, should contain much impurities and may not provide accurate analytical information during the characterization, the corrosion products detected by XPS after 50 s (~2 nm depth, and regarded henceforth as “outer layer” of the corrosion product) and 200 s (~8 nm depth, regarded as “inner layer” of the corrosion product) were, therefore, analysed to understand the chemistry of formation and transformation of the corrosion products in relation to the depth changes of the layer. The peaks of Al 2p3/2, Ni 2p3/2 and O 1s acquired after 50 s and 200 s sputtering were, therefore, deconvoluted for the corresponding corrosion products. The volume percentage of the total oxide and hydroxide species, and hence the contribution of each phase to the corrosion product, was calculated on the basis of the O2– and OH– peak area with respect to the sum of peak areas of the O 1s, Eq. (1). Subsequently, the volume percentage of Ni/Al–oxide (or hydroxide) was calculated on the basis of the Ni/Al peak area in O2– or OH– phase to the volume percentage of O2– or OH– respectively, Eqs. (2) and (3).

\[
\% \text{(O2–/OH–)} = \left( \frac{\text{Peak area of (O2–/OH–)}}{\text{Total peak area of O 1s}} \right) \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\% \text{(Ni/Al–oxide)} = \left( \frac{\text{Peak area (Ni/Al–oxide)}}{\text{Sum of peak areas (Ni/Al–oxide)}} \right) \times \% \text{(O2–)} \quad (2)
\]
Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical corrosion behaviour of the Ni and Ni–28wt.%Al composite coatings was characterized by open circuit potential (E_{OCP}) and potentiodynamic polarization technique using a PARSTAT 273A Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Princeton Applied Research), after immersing the coating samples for 24 h and 72 h in 3.5% NaCl solution. The coatings (used as working electrodes) were prepared by embedding in a mixture of rosin and paraffin to expose an area of 1 cm$^2$ for the electrochemical reaction. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE), connected via a Luggin capillary, was employed as reference electrode, while a platinum sheet was the counter electrode. The coatings were polarized, after being allowed to reach an open circuit potential (E_{OCP}), in the potential range of –0.25 V/ E_{OCP} to +0.25 V/SCE.

Results

Surface morphology of Ni coating and Ni–Al composite

The SEM morphologies in Fig. 1 reveal the surfaces of the electrodeposited pure Ni coating and Ni–28wt.%Al composite fabricated from the Ni sulphate bath. The surface of the pure Ni coating, Fig. 1(a), is characterized by large Ni grains with blocky microstructures. The reinforcement of the Ni coating with Al particles obviously modified the size and morphology of the Ni surface grains in the Ni–28wt.%Al composite, Fig. 1(b). Thus, the electrodeposition of the pure Ni coating from the Ni sulphate bath follows a grain growth mechanism, whereas the Al particles promote the nucleation mechanism. Therefore, the Al particles provide excess of sites on the substrate for the electro–reduction and nucleation of the Ni grains during the electrodeposition.

Open circuit potential measurements

The plots of potential versus time for the attainment of open circuit potential (E_{OCP}) for the pure Ni and the Ni–28wt.%Al composite coatings are provided in Fig. 2. The coatings exhibited stable E_{OCP} values throughout the potential–time scan for both immersion times, which indicates that the coatings can form stable corrosion product layers during the immersion in the 3.5% NaCl solution. However, the E_{OCP} value was largely more negative for the composite after the 24 h immersion, Fig. 2(a), but increased to a value more positive than for the pure Ni coating after 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, Fig. 2(b). This implies that the surface of the Ni–28wt.%Al composite became greatly less susceptible to the electrochemical processes during this longer immersion time.
Figure 1. SEM surface micrographs of (a) pure Ni coating, and (b) Ni–28wt.%Al composite, electrodeposited from the NiSO₄ bath at pH = 5.

Figure 2. Open circuit potential measurement for Ni and Ni–28wt.%Al composite after immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution for (a) 24 h and (b) 72 h immersion period.

Potentiodynamic polarization measurement
The potentiodynamic polarization curves for the pure Ni coating and the Ni–28wt.%Al composite, after 24 h and 72 h immersion in the 3.5% NaCl solution, are provided in Fig. 3. The composite coating exhibited slightly higher cathodic current density throughout the polarization, compared with the pure Ni coating. However, while the anodic current density (which is usually related to the coating dissolution) was largely greater for the polarized composite coating after 24 h immersion, the anodic current density was greatly lower than for the pure Ni coating, after 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution (see Table 1). This is consistent with the observed increase in the $E_{OCP}$ for the composite after 72 h immersion, and indicates the formation of a very stable and protective corrosion product layer during the polarization of the Ni–28wt.%Al composite coating after this longer immersion time in the 3.5% NaCl solution, while the corrosion product layer on the pure Ni surface became more degraded.

Corrosion product characterization
The polarization results showed that the Ni–28wt.%Al composite displayed greatly reduced anodic current density, i.e., reduced corrosion rate after the 72 h immersion in the 3.5% NaCl solution. Thus, the surface of the composite was characterized using X–ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to analyse the
chemistry of the corrosion product formation and transformation. After sputtering for 0, 50 and 200 s in the binding energy range of 0–1200 eV at 0.04 nm/s, XPS detected the following peaks with corresponding binding energy ranges: Ni 2p (852–861 eV), Al 2p (72.9–75.7 eV) and O 1s (529–533 eV). The corresponding spectra are presented for 24 h immersion with subsequent polarization, Fig. 4(a), for 72 h immersion before polarization, Fig. 5(a), and for 72 h immersion with subsequent polarization, Fig. 6(a). XPS detection of Al 2p and Ni 2p peaks confirms the contributions of Al and Ni compounds in the corrosion product layer. The O1s peaks were detected around 529.7–530.4 eV, 530.8–531.4 eV and 532.2–532.4 eV and were attributed to the presence of oxides, hydroxides and adsorbed water molecules, respectively. The composition of the total oxide or hydroxide compounds, and hence the contribution of each phase to the corrosion product, as calculated from Eqs. (1–3), is presented in Table 2.

![Figure 3](image_url). Potentiodynamic polarization curves for Ni and Ni–28wt.%Al composite after 24 h and 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution.

**Table 1.** Polarization parameters for Ni coating and Ni–28wt.%Al composite after 24 and 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$t = 24$ h immersion</th>
<th>$t = 72$ h immersion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Ni-Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{corr}$ (mV/SCE)</td>
<td>–303</td>
<td>–393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{corr}$ (µA/cm²)</td>
<td>2.226</td>
<td>7.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_p$ (mV/dec)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_c$ (mV/dec)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the result in Table 2, the surface of the Ni–28wt.%Al composite after 24 h immersion with subsequent polarization contains largely adsorbed water molecules, making up 69 % and 48 % on the outer and in the inner layers, respectively. The major corrosion product formed in the entire layer was Ni(OH)$_2$ (Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ binding energy at 856.6 eV [11]). Only 5% of Al$_2$O$_3$ (Al 2p$_{3/2}$ binding energy at 74.5 eV [12]) was detected on the outer layer, while the composition slightly increased to 7% in the inner layer. An Al 2p$_{3/2}$ peak (3%) was detected at 73.8 eV in the inner layer and was also attributed to the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ [12].
Figure 4. (a) XPS spectrum acquired after different time of sputtering for Ni–28wt.%Al composite in 3.5% NaCl solution after 24 h immersion with subsequent polarization, and (b) deconvolution of the Ni 2p$_{3/2}$, Al 2p$_{3/2}$ and O 1s spectra acquired after 50 s and 200 s sputtering.
Figure 5. (a) XPS spectrum acquired for Ni–28wt.%Al composite in 3.5% NaCl solution after 72 h immersion without polarization, and (b) deconvolution of the Ni 2p\( _{3/2} \), Al 2p\( _{3/2} \) and O 1s spectra acquired after 50 s and 200 s sputtering.
Figure 6. (a) XPS spectrum acquired for Ni–28wt.%Al composite in 3.5% NaCl solution after 72 h immersion with subsequent polarization, and (b) deconvolution of the Ni 2p₃/₂, Al 2p₃/₂ and O 1s spectra acquired after 50 s and 200 s sputtering.
Table 2. Percentage composition of the corrosion products formed by the Ni–28wt.%Al composite in 3.5% NaCl solution obtained after 50 s and 200 s sputtering, for 24 h immersion with subsequent polarization and for 72 h immersion without and with subsequent polarization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>2 nm</th>
<th>8 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 2p_{3/2}</td>
<td>Al 2p_{3/2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O^2−(15 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NiO (10%)</td>
<td>Al_{2}O_{3}.H_{2}O (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH^− (16 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni(OH)_{2} (15%)</td>
<td>Al(OH)_{3} (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>O^2− (54 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NiO (34%)</td>
<td>Al_{2}O_{3}.H_{2}O (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH^− (25 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni(OH)_{2} (12%)</td>
<td>Al(OH)_{3} (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>O^2− (62 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NiO (23%)</td>
<td>Al_{2}O_{3} (31 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al_{2}O_{3}.H_{2}O (8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH^− (14 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni(OH)_{2} (13 %)</td>
<td>Al(OH)_{3} (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The composition of NiO (854 eV [11]) decreased with the depth of the corrosion product layer. Before polarizing the Ni–Al composite after 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, XPS detected 62% and 68% of oxide compounds in the outer and inner layers, respectively. The total composition of the Al_{2}O_{3} compounds at 74.5 eV (9%; outer layer and 6%; inner layer) and 73.8 eV (30%; outer layer and 46%; inner layer) was greatly larger than the total composition of the NiO in the oxide phase, and the Ni(OH)_{2} composition was as low as 13 % and 9 % in the outer and inner layer, respectively. When polarized, after the 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, no significant change occurred in the composition of Ni(OH)_{2} in the entire corrosion product layer, compared with the values before polarization. An Al 2p_{3/2} peak at 75.7 eV was, therefore, attributed to the formation of Al(OH)_{3}. Its composition was greatly increased, especially on the outer surface of the corrosion product layer. Surprisingly, the Al_{2}O_{3} peak observed at 73.8 eV before polarization, seemingly disappeared on the outer layer but greatly decreased in the inner layer. It may be reasoned that a particular transformation of the Al_{2}O_{3} phases formed by the composite during immersion, occurs during polarization; such as a less hydrated Al_{2}O_{3} with lower binding energy (73.8 eV) being transformed into a more stable Al_{2}O_{3}.H_{2}O form with higher surface hydration (74.5 eV).
Surface feature investigation

In furtherance, SEM was employed to characterise the transformation of the surface microstructure of the Ni–28wt.%Al composite, compared with the pure Ni coating, after 72 h immersion before and after polarization in 3.5% NaCl solution. The comparison of the SEM surface morphologies of the pure Ni coating and the Ni–28wt.%Al composite after 72 h immersion before and after polarization in 3.5% NaCl solution are given in Fig. 7. After 72 h immersion, before polarization in 3.5% NaCl solution, corrosion products on the Ni coating appeared to form sparsely in the crevices between the large surface grains, Fig. 7(a), whereas a higher growth rate of corrosion products around the Ni grains occurred for the composite, Fig. 7(b). When polarized after 72 h immersion period, fine network-like Ni corrosion products occurred ubiquitously on the top of the pure Ni coating grains, but were no more clearly seen in the grooves among the large grains, Fig. 7(c). However, the corrosion product layer on the composite became denser, especially at the boundaries between the Ni grains and Al particles, and the Ni corrosion products covered the Al particles, Fig. 7(d). Lower magnification SEM surface morphologies of the coatings are presented in Fig. 8. Fig. 8(a) revealed that numerous pits occurred on the surface of the Ni coating, compared with the fewer pits on composite coating, Fig. 8(b). The magnified image of a pit on the Ni coating, Fig. 8(c), revealed that the pitting was deep enough to wear off the thickness of the coating and caused further corrosion of the underlying Ni substrate, which can easily be identified by the grinding marks made prior to electrodeposition. Conversely, the pits on the composite (morphology of one pit shown in Fig. 8(d)) were much shallower and
did not extend to the substrate, having a smoother surface which suggests the likelihood that a passive film would finally be re-formed in the pitting process.

Figure 8. SEM surface micrographs after 72 h of immersion and subsequent polarization in 3.5% NaCl solution showing pit morphologies on ((a), (c) Ni coating, and ((b), (d)) Ni−28wt.% Al composite.

Discussion
The mechanism of the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of pure Ni coating involves the initial adsorption of water molecules on the coating surface, followed by the formation of a corrosion product layer composed of Ni(OH)$_2$ and NiO which was the prominent cause of the anodic current density during the polarization of electrodeposited Ni coating in 3% NaCl solution [1]. The saturation of the surface of the polarized Ni−28wt.%Al composite with adsorbed water molecules after 24 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, as confirmed by XPS characterization, is highly correlated with greatly refined microstructure and higher surface area of the Ni surface grains with Al particles reinforcement. This chemistry eventually increases the susceptibility (more negative $E_{OCP}$), and rate of the Ni grains in the composite to dissolution during the anodic polarization after 24 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution. Although the Al particles can guarantee the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$, the Al$_2$O$_3$ composition is not enough to decrease the dissolution of the Ni grains; the electrochemical reaction occurs more rapidly around the Ni grains causing more Ni products to be formed, especially Ni(OH)$_2$. The larger composition of Ni(OH)$_2$ in the inner layer of the corrosion product layer, than on the outer layer, further shows the extent of water adsorption and penetration through the Ni−Al composite coating. This is, therefore, the reason for higher rate of corrosion of the Ni−28wt.% Al composite.
coating after 24 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, compared with the pure Ni coating.

When immersion time is increased up to 72 h in 3.5% NaCl solution, there is a strong dehydration of the rapidly formed continuous layer of the Ni(OH)$_2$, ab–initio enriching the corrosion product layer on the composite coating surface, according to the reaction (1) below.

$$\text{Ni(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{NiO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

The XPS acquisition of NiO composition nearly double the Ni(OH)$_2$ composition in the entire layer and the SEM surface feature of the composite after 72 h immersion before polarization in 3.5% NaCl solution, Fig. 6, definitely supports this phenomenon. In contrast with the pure Ni coating, this rapid formation and dehydration of the Ni(OH)$_2$ on the surface of the Ni–28wt.%Al composite coating is attributed to the high density of nucleation sites around the highly refined Ni surface grains in the composite. It is plausible that during this dehydration the increased penetration of air (oxygen), rather than water, through the corrosion product layer then triggers a more stable formation and enrichment of the Ni(OH)$_2$ composition in the inner layer at the corrosion product layer–substrate interface, that definitely increases the inertness of the composite coating surface, causing the more positive $E_{\text{OCP}}$ value and the greatly reduced anodic (and corrosion) current density during polarization after the 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution.

During polarization after 72 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution, the Al$_2$O$_3$ enrichment of the Ni corrosion product thickens the boundaries between the Ni grains and the Al particles in the composite coating, as evidenced by the SEM image in Fig. 6(d). This process further promotes oxidation half–reactions around the Ni grains converting them more into NiO and causing the increase in NiO composition after the polarization, rather than Ni(OH)$_2$. In turn, the cathodic half–reactions plausibly occur around the Al particles and the formed Al$_2$O$_3$. Most prominent cathodic half–reactions in such neutral solution should involve the reduction of Ni$^{2+}$ ions into Ni atoms, and of water molecules into OH$^-$ ions, around the Al particles and the formed Al$_2$O$_3$ during the cathodic scan. Based on XPS results, this increased Ni$^{2+}$ reduction and OH$^-$ ion formation around the Al particles and the formed Al$_2$O$_3$ during the cathodic scan should, therefore, be the reason for: (i) the greatly increased NiO composition during anodic scan, (ii) the serious transformation of a ‘more crystalline’ Al$_2$O$_3$ at 73.8 eV (richly formed during 72 h immersion) into a ‘more hydrated’ form at 74.7 eV after polarization, and (iii) the subsequent increase in the Al(OH)$_3$ composition, especially, on the outer layer of the corrosion product layer after polarization. The formation of a strongly hydrated Al$_2$O$_3$.H$_2$O was also reported during the characterization of the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of Al–Mn composite coatings in 3.5% NaCl solution [13]. Pitting corrosion is usually triggered by the chloride ions in the 3.5% NaCl solution, adsorbing on and penetrating through the corrosion product layer and the Ni grain boundaries. While this is highly sustained (even attacking the substrate) for the pure Ni coating, the pitting process for the Ni–28wt.%Al
composite coating is impeded once it reaches an Al₂O₃–rich front, especially in the inner layer of the Ni corrosion product where the Al₂O₃ assists in fortifying the corrosion product–substrate interface.

Conclusions
The characterization of the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of an electrodeposited Ni–28wt.%Al composite has been undertaken in 3.5% NaCl solution, compared with pure Ni coating. The composite coating possesses greater surface area which initially increases the rates of adsorption of water, lowers the $E_{OCP}$ and, hence, the rate of electrochemical formation of Ni(OH)$_2$–enriched corrosion product layer, after 24 h immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution. However, there is a rapid thickening of the corrosion product layer, with immersion time up to 72 h, which facilitates the enrichment of the corrosion product layer with stable Al₂O₃ and leads to a greatly reduced corrosion rate, compared with the pure Ni coating.

Acknowledgements
B.I. Onyeachu is grateful to TWAS, the World Academy of Science and the Chinese Academy of Science (CAS) for the award of a CAS-TWAS Postgraduate Fellowship.

References
1. Li-Yuan Q, Jian-She L, Qing J. Effect of grain size on corrosion behaviour of electrodeposited bulk nanocrystalline Ni. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China. 2010;82-89.